Visions and tools - and how we use or want to use them?
How relevant are the VISIONS and TOOLS we discussed yesterday for us?
Which VISIONS and TOOLS are used the most already?

![Bar chart showing the most used VISION and TOOL.

VISION - Active mobility city / cycling city: 77%

TOOL - Biking networks: 86%]
And which VISIONS and TOOLS are used the least for now?

Least in action!

- VISION - City as network of car-free spaces: 32%
- TOOL - Transforming highways into urban boulevards: 23%
Which VISIONS and TOOLS are high on the agenda to start?

Most wanted for action!

68%  
VISION - City as network of car-free spaces  

68%  
TOOL - Transforming highways into urban boulevards
Which VISIONS and TOOLS are low on the agenda to start?

- VISION: Active mobility city / cycling city - 23%
- TOOL: Shared space / coexistence street AND biking networks - 14%
There is a correlation definitely...

High relevance topics for coming actions!

VISION - City as a network of car free spaces

TOOL - Transforming highways into urban boulevards

VISION and TOOL with highest use intention!

VISION and TOOL least in action!
There is a correlation definitely…

Well applied and rather saturated…

VISION - Active mobility city / cycling city

TOOL - Biking networks

VISION and TOOL most in action!

VISION and TOOL in least need for a kick-start!
Let’s do a list of popularity…

for VISIONS already in action!

1. Active mobility city / cycling city  77%
2. Pedestrian priority / walkable city  73%
3. 15-min city                         50%
4. Integrated metropolitan planning    50%
5. City as network of car-free spaces  32%
Let’s do a list of popularity…
for VISIONS planned for future use!

1. City as network of car-free spaces 68%
2. 15-minute city 50%
3. Integrated metropolitan planning 32%
4. Pedestrian priority / walkable city 27%
5. Active mobility city / cycling city 23%
Use and intention of use for VISIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Already in Use</th>
<th>Planned for the Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active mobility city / cycling city</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian priority / walkable city</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated metropolitan planning</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-minute city</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City as network of car-free spaces</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- Green: already in use
- Orange: planned for the future
Let’s do a list of popularity…

for TOOLS already in action!

1. Biking networks  86%
2. Shared space / coexistence street  82%
3. Parking management  68%
4. Tempo 30  55%
5. Transit oriented development  50%
6. School area / shopping street mobility regulation  45%
7. Public transport system remodelling  45%
8. E-mobility regulation  32%
9. Circular road regulation  27%
9. Superblock on small scale  27%
10. Transforming highways into urban boulevards  23%
Let’s do a list of popularity…

for TOOLS planned for future use!

1. Transforming highways into urban boulevards 68%
2. E-mobility regulation 55%
3. School area / shopping street mobility regulation 50%
4. Superblock on small scale 50%
5. Circular road regulation 45%
6. Tempo 30 41%
7. Public transport system remodelling 36%
8. Parking management 32%
9. Transit oriented development 32%
10. Biking networks 14%
11. Shared space / coexistence street 14%
Use and intention of use for TOOLS

- Biking networks: 86% already in use, 14% planned for the future
- Shared space / coexistence street: 82% already in use, 14% planned for the future
- Parking management: 68% already in use, 32% planned for the future
- Tempo 30: 55% already in use, 41% planned for the future
- Transit oriented development: 50% already in use, 32% planned for the future
- School area / shopping street mobility regulation: 45% already in use, 50% planned for the future
- Public transport system remodelling: 45% already in use, 36% planned for the future
- E-mobility regulation: 55% already in use, 32% planned for the future
- Circular road regulation: 45% already in use, 27% planned for the future
- Superblock on small scale: 50% already in use, 27% planned for the future
- Transforming highways into urban boulevards: 68% already in use, 23% planned for the future

Legend: Blue = already in use, Yellow = planned for the future
So, what is the bigger picture?

There is a high relevance for visions!

- Integrated metropolitan planning: 50% planned for the future, 32% already in use
- Pedestrian priority/walkable city: 73% planned for the future, 27% already in use
- City as network of car-free spaces: 32% planned for the future, 68% already in use
- 15-minute city: 50% planned for the future, 50% already in use
- Active mobility city/cycling city: 77% planned for the future, 23% already in use
So, what is the bigger picture?

...and high relevance for tools as well!
Taking a look at the city size…

• Small cities all lack *regulations relating E-Mobility*
• … and 4 out of 5 want to engage into this!
• They give more emphasis on *School area / shopping street regulations*
• … and less on transit-oriented development
Taking a look at the city size…

- All cities of 150,000 - 350,000 plan for a city as network of car-free spaces!
- They all apply Shared Space / co-existing streets as well as parking management!
- They all want to engage in transforming highways into urban boulevards!
Taking a look at the city size…

• Cities of 500,000 – 1,000,000 work with more of the visions already than the average!

• They work less than the average on parking management, shared space and co-existence streets!

• But much more on transforming highways into urban boulevards already, transit-oriented development and all of them with Tempo 30!

• Parking management and shared space are high on the agenda, school area / shopping street regulation and superblock on small scale less than the average!
Taking a look at the city size...

• Cities larger than 1.000.000 *all* work with the *vision of the 15-min city*!

• No city is active on *transit-oriented development* or *school area / shopping street regulations* or *transforming highways into urban boulevards*. But the latter is on everybody's agenda!

• *Bike networks*, and *shared spaces / co-existence streets* are less applied and considered less for future actions than the average!

• *Superblocks* and *regulations on E-mobility* see a higher take-up already!
How to find and adapt visions and tools for your city?

• **identify the problem** and get most possible **evidence** of its dimensions (Arad main road)

• clarify the links of the problem to the **existing strategies** of the city

• explore **examples from other cities**, about **relevant visions and tools** to handle the problem (visions such as city as network of car-free spaces; tools to calm down traffic in a street, reduce parking, improve active uses…)
• test the relevant examples for **legal applicability** in your city (TUTUR example: Bremen solution not possible in Timisoara)

• collect **stakeholder positions**, challenge and **critically evaluate** these (e.g. shop owners)

• **communicate widely** the potential interventions (concentrating on positive messages, not on the negative interpretations)

• select the **discussion and decision making method** (T30 Brussels: quick top-down political decision; local referendum with or without testing period; deliberative techniques...)

• explore **potential financial sources for implementation**
  (local, regional, national, EU programmes)
• establish **institutional and financial conditions** for long-term management

**ACTORS IN THE GAME**
• politicians, officers, consultants/experts, stakeholders, population groups
Little URBACT survey to partner cities

Collecting answers during the summer on two questions:
• how politicians can better be involved;
• how cities are handled by the national/regional level in the **national Recovery and Resilience Programme**

... and one more thing:
*July 14* – Thriving Streets online masterclasses:
10:00 – 12:00 Sustainable urban logistics (Ian Wainwright)
13:00 – 14:30 Parking management (Claus Köllinger)
THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Iván Tosics tosics@mri.hu
Béla Kézy kezy@megakom.hu
Claus Köllinger claus.koellinger@gmail.com
Roland Krebs krebs@superwien.com